

PROJECT FOSTEX Deliverable

D.3.2.3 3rd Quality and Evaluation Report



Project acronym:	FOSTEX
Project full title:	Fostering innovation in the Jordan and Moroccan textile industry
Grant agreement no.:	598347-EPP-1-2018-1-ES-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP
Responsible partner for deliverable:	CRETHIDEV
Contributing partners:	ALL
Author(s):	Sofia (Faye) Plakantonaki
Target Group(s):	Institution
Distribution level:	Partnership
Total number of pages:	26 + Annexes
Version:	1
Language	English
Reviewed by:	External review
Status:	Final
Delivery date:	14/07/2020

Version control

Number	Date	Description
0.1	14.07.20	1 st draft
0.2	14.09.20	Revision by External Evaluator
1	15.09.20	Final version incorporating comments received by the external evaluator

All rights are reserved. Reproduction and adaptation are prohibited.

Copyright © FOSTEX Consortium, 2019-2022



Contents

Contents	2
Abbreviations and Acronyms	4
1. Executive Summary.....	5
2. Description of the Quality WP implemented activities	5
2.1 Quality and evaluation purpose and methodology	5
2.2 Evaluation of the project outputs and processes of the period.....	6
2.3 Participants to the evaluations	7
3. Evaluation of Deliverables:.....	7
3.1 D1.2 National research reports	7
3.1.1 Responses presentation	7
3.1.2 Comments on the contents	9
3.1.3 Comments on the format.....	9
3.1.4 Suggested improvements: Important changes that should be implemented - Missing information - Further improvements	9
3.1.5 Other observations: Minor corrections that need attention	10
3.1.6 Results and conclusions	10
3.2 D1.3 EU Best practices and success experiences database	10
3.2.1 Responses presentation	12
3.2.2 Comments on the contents	12
3.2.3 Comments on the format.....	12
3.2.4 Suggested improvements: Important changes that should be implemented - Missing information - Further improvements	12
3.2.5 Other observations: Minor corrections that need attention	12
3.2.6 Results and conclusions	13
3.3 D1.4 Cross-country research report	13
3.3.1 Responses presentation	13
3.3.2 Comments on the contents	15
3.3.3 Comments on the format.....	15
3.3.4 Suggested improvements: Important changes that should be implemented - Missing information - Further improvements	15
3.3.5 Other observations: Minor corrections that need attention	16
3.3.6 Results and conclusions	16



4.	Evaluation of Meetings: 4 th Meeting	16
4.1	Participants.....	16
4.2	Responses presentation.....	19
4.3	Comments	19
4.4	Open Questions	20
4.5	Results and Conclusions.....	20
5.	Evaluation of Events: Roundtable “Advanced Textiles’ centers development and Investment promotion for inclusive and sustainable growth in Jordan”	21
5.1	Participants.....	21
5.2	Responses presentation.....	21
5.3	Results and Conclusions.....	23
6.	Website Evaluation.....	23
7.	3 rd Internal Evaluation of the project.....	23
7.1	Responses presentation.....	23
7.2	Other Comments and Suggestions	23
7.3	Results and Conclusions.....	25
8.	Annexes.....	26
8.1	Annex I - Links to Google Forms Evaluations	26
8.2	Annex II - Evaluation and Monitoring Table	26
8.3	Annex III - Progress report	26



Abbreviations and Acronyms

ACI	Amman Chamber of Industry
AEI TEXTIL	Associació Agrupació d'Empreses Innovadores Tèxtils
AMITH	Association Marocaine des Industries du Textile et l'Habillement
BAU	Al-Balqa Applied University
CIAPE	Centro Italiano per l'apprendimento permanente
CRE.THI.DEV	Creative Thinking Development
D	Deliverable
EACEA	Education, Audiovisual and Culture Executive Agency
EC	European Commission
EQEC	External Quality and Evaluation Committee
ESITH	Ecole Supérieure des Industries du Textile et de l'Habillement-
EU	European Union
FOSTEX	Fostering innovation in the Jordan and Moroccan textile industry
GA	Grant Agreement
GD	Google Drive
INCDTP	Institutul National de Cercetare-Dezvoltare Pentru Textile
JUST	Jordan University of Science and Technology
Materially	Materially Srl Impresa sociale
N/A	Not Applicable
PC	Project Coordinator
QEP	Quality and Evaluation Plan
sit-rep	Situation report
UH2C	University Hassan II de Casablanca
UNIWA	University of West Attica
UPC	Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya
WP	Work Package
WPL	Work Package Leader



1. Executive Summary

This document summarizes the main activities which have been implemented during the 3rd 6-month-period of project regarding the quality assurance.

After a brief introduction of how the project quality assurance has been built during this period of project implementation, the findings - based on internal consortium surveys using the data collection forms included in the Quality Plan and agreed by the partnership- are presented.

Following, the evaluation of the data collected and the comments submitted, the results are depicted and where is needed, recommendations are developed for the practical amelioration of the different aspects that have been investigated.

Upon decision made at the kick-off meeting, the Quality and Evaluation Report includes a Progress Report on the implementation of the project's actions, following the template of the "Table of achieved /planned results" provided by the EACEA. The Progress Report is presented as ANNEX III and it is used to assess the effective time management in comparison to the outputs produced.

In the Annex area, the links to the Evaluation Google forms and the updated table of the Evaluation and Monitoring Table are given.

2. Description of the Quality WP implemented activities

2.1 Quality and evaluation purpose and methodology

In order to implement a proper and coherent action for a qualitative monitoring of the working partnership and of its deliverables, the WP leader, CRETHIDEV, has drafted, shared and finalised the Quality and Evaluation Plan. The plan includes tools and guidelines that have been edited and reviewed by Partners within the first semester of the project and they represent the frame of reference for the quality assurance of the project.

The aim of the quality assurance is to put into action all the necessary tools so as to guarantee high performance and efficacy to working processes of the partnership and its final products. The methodology of the monitoring aims at raising constant awareness among the partners on the necessity of high level of qualitative performance, so as to put strong foundations for effective performance and consistent and reliable results. In order to achieve these goals, the monitoring actions have planned to assess, on a regular basis, different aspects of the project working development and its outcomes by submitting monitoring tools to the partnership and discussing with the consortium the outcomes. This discussion shall lead the consortium to a decision-making process to



ameliorate weak issues and features of the working approach, improving thus the quality of the work and results.

For this purpose, different project aspects have been targeted so as to monitor them on an on-going basis:

- Working effectiveness and progress of the partnership (6months Progress Reports and Internal Project Evaluation)
- Relevance to the project objectives, timely delivery and adequacy of project Deliverables (Deliverable Evaluation)
- The quality, functionality and usability of e-resources such as the e-platform and the e-content (Web Portal Evaluation)
- Meeting and event evaluations (Meeting Evaluation, Event Evaluation)
- Evaluations of project training activities/deliverables such as training courses, seminars, practical training (Training Evaluation from peers and participants)

For each of the above-mentioned aspects, assessment tools have been designed and shared in order to collect data and allow Partners to provide feedback and suggest corrective interventions. The data collection tools have been shaped in the form of check lists, including also open questions for comments, thus allowing both in depth evaluation of the different aspects related to the monitored topic and at the same time easy and feasible data collection. For transparency reasons, links to the Evaluation Google forms are offered in Annex I of this report.

Next, a data collection calendar has been designed according to the timing of the project deliverables, enabling – this way – the consortium to make in time the quality control of the project results. The calendar is part of the Evaluation and Monitoring Table, annexed to the QEP.

This report has been itself submitted to the evaluation of an External Evaluator, who made his remarks on the text and produced for this deliverable an evaluation form based on the same model as the one used for other deliverables ("D323 3rd Quality and Evaluation Report Deliverable Evaluation FOSTEX").

2.2 Evaluation of the project outputs and processes of the period

In the third six months of FOSTEX project, the following main activities have been performed in terms of monitoring:

- Submission of the Deliverable Evaluation Google Form for every deliverable, processing of the collected data and forwarding results to the authors to accept or reject suggestions.
- Submission of the 3rd six-month Internal Evaluation Google Form and processing of the collected data.
- Submission of the Meeting Evaluation form for the 4th Project Meeting and processing of the collected data.



- Submission of the Event Evaluation form for the Roundtable “Advanced Textiles’ centers development and Investment promotion for inclusive and sustainable growth in Jordan” and processing of the collected data.

- Elaboration of the 3rd Quality and Evaluation report

In the following chapters, a detailed presentation of the evaluations regarding project deliverables, meetings and the overall internal project assessment are presented.

- The online presentation and discussion during the 4th project meeting, of the evaluation results so as to raise awareness and activate the decision-making process for amelioration of project results.

2.3 Participants to the evaluations

The deliverable evaluation form is addressed each time to specific reviewing partners who have not participated in the development of the task in question. The name of the reviewing organizations is mentioned in a special column of the Evaluation and Monitoring Table. However, in the case of the overall evaluation of the project processes and efficiency through the “Internal Evaluation Form”, all partners involved in the project can provide their views.

To assure objective and fair evaluation of the deliverables the name of the reviewer and/or institution is not a mandatory field. However, it is helpful to know the person-institution in case further feedback is needed to implement the reviewer’s suggestions. The minimum number of internal reviews submitted per task was four. Targeted reminders will be placed during the next evaluations of the project to improve this number and achieve the participation of all responsible partners in offering feedback.

3. Evaluation of Deliverables:

3.1 D1.2 National research reports

These electronic reports comprise the results from both desk and field research in the target countries: Jordan and Morocco. The reports include the macroeconomic information of the textile sector, the identification of key stakeholders and the needs of the sector both from industry perspective and from university point of view.


Six partners participated in the evaluation of the deliverable. Two reviewers gave separate evaluations for the Moroccan research report and the Jordanian research report and their Yes or No answers were merged into one in order to produce statistically correct and uniform results.

3.1.1 Responses presentation



In the graphs of the next page, the responses from the participants in the evaluation are presented.



Contents D1.2



Format D1.2





3.1.2 Comments on the contents

1. Morocco's Report: References or Bibliography are missing; it is missing also what is the source of the data (interviews, focus groups, ???)
Jordan's Report: References or Bibliography are missing; as the interviews and focus groups have been done it would be important to mention it in the report.
Table numbers and table captions are necessary
Figure numbers and figure captions are necessary
List tables/ List figures are necessary
2. D1.2 Jordan: -some paragraphs should be reformulated;
-some of the figures and tables are not numbered and the title is missing"
3. D1.2 Morocco: In FOSTEX_WP1_D1.2_National Report
Morocco_UH2C_ESITH- AMITH-1-English are some minor weaknesses, such as grammar spelling, some small explanation are required. In addition, date of report preparation should be added. The reviewed version is in GD (<https://drive.google.com/open?id=18lhTHLE3pXUDuE1cXlpK6GGEq0qv0kOE>)
4. D1.2 Jordan: According to the proposal, the report is supposed to include the results of both the desk and field results. Thus, I would expect for the results to be included here (or annexed), rather than in the separate report that you have prepared.
5. D1.2 Morocco: According to the proposal, the report is supposed to include the results of both the desk and field research. Thus, I would expect for the field results to added to this report.

3.1.3 Comments on the format

1. Revise through 2 documents to folM3:M11late
2. "JORDAN report: Graphic in page 7 and 19, revise page breaks (i.e. p17, table p25) - check position of Erasmus+ co-funding acknowledgment in top)
MOROCCO report: missing logos, typos in table 1 - male sex and female gender."
3. I believe it needs configuration in shapes and on the margins of some tables comparative to the size of the page
4. D1.2 Jordan: -total number pages should be specified on FOSTEX_WP1_D1.2_National Report Jordan_ACI_JUST
-Version control date is missing
Minor weaknesses:
-spelling grammar should be checked
5. D1.2 Morocco: Spelling grammar is necessary.
6. D1.2 Jordan: I believe that the English language should be refined a little and I am ready to assist you in this task.

3.1.4 Suggested improvements: Important changes that should be implemented - Missing information - Further improvements



1. JORDAN report: Graphic in page 7 and 19, revise page breaks (i.e. p17, table p25) - check position of Erasmus+ co-funding acknowledgment in top)
2. MOROCCO report: missing logos, typos in table 1 - male sex and female gender, "
3. p7-8 : There is no explanation in the figuresp 19 p15 , 3.2.2 table margins
4. D1.2 Jordan: check to improve the manuscript, pp. 6-31 1, 2, -add number of pages and version control date -add figure title and number (p. 6, 8,9, 15, 17, 18, 25). Some paragraphs should be reformulated because are not very clear (p. 24)
5. D1.2 Morocco: In page 2 should be added date of the report preparation. In Content/page 3 and List of figures & tables/page 5 it is necessary the renumbering of the pages. In page 6-30 it is required grammar spelling. Some explanations are required on pages: 7,9, 12, and 25
6. D1.2 Jordan: -To include the results of the filed research in this report.
- p.4 Abbreviations and Acronyms/ Please do not use those of the template but write here the ones included in the report such as JIC, JD, JGATE, IFC, ILO etc
- p1. I would change the title
7. D1.2 Morocco: To include the results of the filed research in this report.
- p.4 Abbreviations and Acronyms/ Please do not use those of the template but put here the ones included in the report such as MTC, GDP, CMA, IDF and so on
- I cannot clearly see the SWOT analysis, which is supposed to be included in the report. The strengths and Opportunities are described within the report; however, I cannot detect the weaknesses and threats. I suggest for them to be added in the report.

3.1.5 Other observations: Minor corrections that need attention

1. p 25,26,27 the tables should positioning in the center of pages
2. D1.2 Jordan: -spelling grammar -all manuscript.
3. D1.2 Morocco: pages 6-30 rewording, reformulation of the phrases.
4. D1.2 Jordan: There minor mistakes in the deliverable, but I prefer to correct them directly on the last version, after you implement other suggestions by the reviewers.

3.1.6 Results and conclusions


After the evaluation the deliverables were reviewed by the authors and incorporated the most important and relevant changes/suggestions proposed by the partners.

3.2 D1.3 EU Best practices and success experiences database



This electronic database reporting the best identified practices across Europe with high potential for being transferrable to the partner countries.



Contents D1.3



Format D1.3





3.2.1 Responses presentation

In the graphs of the previous page, the responses from the participants in the evaluation are presented.

3.2.2 Comments on the contents

1. It would be good to focus the conclusions, on activities of the centers.
For instance relating to know-how to use in consultancy for companies with the trends identified, but also with some relation to the different equipment that will be purchased and how the best practices are implied.
2. I made comments directly on the document. The revised document is in the same GD folder (is the version _commentsUPC)

3.2.3 Comments on the format

1. As minor comment, the format on the presentation of the different best practices could be formatted slightly different to improve visual appeal. For example, starting each best practice in a new page.
Also, sections would be nicer if they start in a new page. (i.e. 5 . conclusions start at midpage).
2. Although the spelling and grammar as well as the format is in general correct, it would be good to make a revision through all the document

3.2.4 Suggested improvements: Important changes that should be implemented - Missing information - Further improvements

1. p1 / Title of the deliverable: The word template to be removed. The title according to p. 60 of the proposal is "EU best practices and success experiences database"
2. Conclusions p51-54. Should address directly the different services provided in each of the centers, relating directly to consultancy, training and tests.
The material is there, and would be just to add that the best practices (i.e. courses identified) will be used in training companies, the trends identified for consultancy, and the R&D best practices will complement tests or alike. "
3. Comments marked directly on the document. The revised document is in the same GD folder (is the version _commentsUPC)

3.2.5 Other observations: Minor corrections that need attention

1. p.2- 1st table / The version of the deliverable to be stated.
2. Each section should start in a new page to make it visually appealing.
3. p.2- 2nd table / highlight to be removed + a correct depiction of the document history is advised from the 1st draft on 03/10/19 (stated as delivery date) to the



- current version. Decimal numbers (0.1, 0.2 etc) are used for draft versions and integers (1, 2 etc) for final versions.
4. Each best practice should start in a new page and might need some minor visual correction to make them attractive.
 5. p.3 - Abbreviations and Acronyms / Please remove the words "Abbreviation" and "Acronym" and add the several Abbreviations and Acronyms which are mentioned in the document e.g. INTEXTER, UPC, UNIWA, UNITE and so on.
 6. p. 38,39 - Implementation of a 6 month internship of students in the industry / I would like to see a link to the document-deliverable otherwise the FOSTEX project cannot draw a positive feedback from this good practice.

3.2.6 Results and conclusions

The deliverable was in general well accepted by the four reviewers and the minor changes suggested were implemented to produce the final deliverable.

3.3 D1.4 Cross-country research report

The cross-country report compiles and analyses the key findings and recommendations coming from desk and field research activities that are included in national reports.


Eight partners participated in the evaluation of the deliverable.

3.3.1 Responses presentation


In the graphs of the next page, the responses from the participants in the evaluation are presented.

In particular, one reviewer offered false positive replies to on the questions: Does the Deliverable need the addition of elements to reach completeness? Are there any parts/elements in the Deliverable that should be removed? Are there other remarks about the format of the Deliverable (spelling, grammar, etc)? since he offered only "yes" replies within the questionnaire, offered no additional comments and accepted the deliverable without changes, elements that clearly demonstrate his/her favourable evaluation of the deliverable. As a result the false positive results were excluded from the data processing procedure and are not presented in the next-page graphs.

Contents D1.4



Format D1.4



Major changes required, it must be reviewed after the changes are implemented

25%

Minor changes required

25%

No changes required

50%

■ No changes required

■ Minor changes required

■ Major changes required, it must be reviewed after the changes are implemented



3.3.2 Comments on the contents

1. The report FOSTEX_WP1_D1.4_Cross country Report_Ruba_01 should be improved by adding the explanations and grammar spelling.
2. I cannot detect the results and recommendations linked to the field research.
3. The report is a copy-paste from national reports! This deliverable does not address the goals of the project. The goal of this deliverable is to seek common aspects between Jordan and Morocco and to identify which of the best practices could be transferred and developed within WP2. From the proposal: It will present an overview of the results of the research activities and combining them will DRAW CONCLUSIONS for guiding the development phase of the project. In the deliverable description it states: The cross-country report (electronic document) will be produced by JUST for compiling and analysing the key findings and recommendations coming from desk and field research activities and included in national reports. It will include a deep analysis of the learnings from best practices and the status of each partner country to highlight main potentials for improving the implementation. This report will be the main feed to the development activities for all partners.

3.3.3 Comments on the format

1. All tables, images and/or figures need captions and numbers (some of them have but other ones no). Revise numbering of tables, figures and/or images. List of tables, images and/or figures is missing. The letter font changes through the document. Formats has to be revised through all document to follow the FOSTEX template.
2. Wrong deliverable name...
3. Spelling grammar it is necessary.
4. I can see that INCDTP has reviewed the language of the report and I suggest keeping the new version which has been created. I am also ready to review once more the final version.

3.3.4 Suggested improvements: Important changes that should be implemented - Missing information - Further improvements

1. The authors should add the explanations, grammar spelling, and date & description of the initial version of D1.4
2. Revise the complete deliverable. Content is not correct
3. The authors should add the explanations, grammar spelling, and date & description of the initial version of D1.4
4. p1. Change of the title with the correct one
5. Some explanations are necessary and some part should be reformulated (technical aspects -pattern design on pages 46-47) -
https://drive.google.com/open?id=12laq9knPRShxId-3VXjtSFZOHI_3ThKU

6. p.4 Abbreviations and Acronyms/ Please do not use the ones already found in the template but put there the ones included in the report.
7. Include the field research results

3.3.5 Other observations: Minor corrections that need attention

1. date & description of the report D1.4 -page 2
2. Font use: Use Arial instead of Times new roman

3.3.6 Results and conclusions

The review of this deliverable was made by eight partners, of which two (25%) expressed the need of important changes. On the other hand, most of the reviewing partners (75%) considered the deliverable as acceptable since they requested no or minor changes to be applied. In line with the quality standards set within the Quality and Evaluation Plan *the deliverable is considered accepted according to the answers gathered under section 4-Conclusions of the Deliverable Evaluation form and when the percentage of acceptance is more than 70% of the total. Scores less than this will require corrective actions by the partnership, led by the Project Coordinator.* Since the percentage of acceptance (no changes required or minor changes required) was greater than 70%, the deliverable followed the typical process of being reviewed by the author/Work Package leader.

The result of the corrective actions was for the final version of the deliverable to be extensively reviewed to include important suggestions, remarks and corrections made by the partnership, even though no drastic changes were made (for example there were no additional parts added or annexed).

4. Evaluation of Meetings: 4th Meeting


The 4th project meeting was scheduled to take place between the 9th and the 13th of March 2020, a period that coincides with the initiation of the COVID-19 pandemic. In line with national or institutional measures imposed against mobilities, the majority of the partners were led to cancel their itineraries and participate in the meeting via virtual means. Only answers received by partners participating with physical presence in the meeting were taken into account concerning the four last questions of “the meeting” section.

4.1 Participants

In the 4th Project meeting all 13 Partner Organizations participated either via physical presence or online. Ten (10) participants evaluated the meeting via the online Meeting Evaluation Google Form, of whom six (6) participated in the meeting online and four (4) via physical presence.





The meeting





The project





4.2 Responses presentation

The analysis presented in the previous pages is based on a 5 points Likert Scale: 1=Fully Disagree - 5=Fully Agree).

4.3 Comments

Comments on the meeting:

- Due to the situation related with covid-19, some of partners had to meet through Skype. It was very difficult the communication but there was no other possibility. Meetings in "person" are very important
- I participate only in the skype meeting because of the cancellation of my trip because of the pandemic Coronavirus. Nothing was as it was planned and scheduled.
- Many thanks to our Jordanian partners for their efforts which made it possible to execute the agenda of the meeting. They were also generous in providing the



Moroccan team with their mobility to different venues where activities were held, especially Eng. Al Fadl.

No comments were registered concerning the project nor the partnership.

4.4 Open Questions

The meeting enabled me to clear up questions I previously had on:

- Financial management

The following element is still a major concern to me:

- New centers' status

The major obstacle/barrier in this project for the near future will be:

- Procurement of equipment
- To solve the problem with UH2C situation
- Time
- On-time equipment purchase, especially related to UH2C as they are experiencing institutional difficulties
- Coronavirus!!!
- The difficult process of getting a Schengen visa.

Please tell us the most important outcomes of the project for your organization. Why are they more important than other outcomes?

- Internationalisation
- Our potential to contribution to applied research and corporate services.
- Textile Innovation Centre at Al-Balqa Applied University (BAU)

Suggestions and aspects to be improved (good practices noted)

- Remote meeting to overcome the coronavirus situation

4.5 Results and Conclusions

Overall, 4th Meeting of the FOSTEX project received an average score of 4.2/5 in the meeting section by the people who participated in the evaluation and the percentage of scores ≥ 3 (neutral, agree, fully agree) was 93.5%. Negative scores concerning the meeting (disagree or fully disagree) accounted only for 6.5%. The question receiving the lowest score (3.5/5) was: Partners had the chance and the possibility to meet and interact with the other projects partners, which is only natural since the majority of the participants had to cancel their plans and participate via Skype, due to the COVID-19 restrictions.



By analysing the comments and answers received to the open questions section of the questionnaires it appears that:

1. The consortium starts to focus on the new barriers linked to the COVID-19 pandemic.
2. Budgetary and workplan issues are still a major concern to the consortium and particularly the on-time purchase of the equipment by the partner country Universities.
3. Although most members of the consortium participated in the meeting online, still the meeting evaluation was favourable. This fact demonstrates that meeting online can be an effective way of communication and collaboration **during the pandemic** period. However, online meetings might be proven less effective in the decision-making process, and thus **a more frequent schedule of online meetings can be adapted to substitute the face-to-face meetings**.
4. The consortium is now required to demonstrate quick reflexes and adapt to the new reality caused by the COVID-19 pandemic in order to continue the implementation of the project, **taking all the necessary measures to prevent any negative impact to the health of the project representatives**. In that respect, all project partners should take advantage of the ICT technologies for communication, collaboration and training purposes.

5. Evaluation of Events: Roundtable “Advanced Textiles’ centers development and Investment promotion for inclusive and sustainable growth in Jordan”

The 1st roundtable of the project took place in Amman Jordan and was organized by Amman Chamber of Industry (ACI) on March 10, 2020 at the beginning of the COVID-19 expansion and together with the 4th project meeting.

5.1 Participants

The date of this event coincides with the implementation of restriction measures relating to the movement of people in the EU. As a result, EU partners involved in this activity could not participate vis-à-vis.


Sixteen (16) participants evaluated the event via the Event Evaluation Form.

5.2 Responses presentation

The analysis presented in the following page is based on a 5 points Likert Scale: 1=Fully Disagree - 5=Fully Agree).



Roundtable “Advanced Textiles’ centers development and Investment promotion for inclusive and sustainable growth in Jordan”




5.3 Results and Conclusions

The total **average score of the responses received is 4.1** and **92% of the evaluation scores** given by the participants **are above the threshold of 3**. The highest mean score was given to the question “Do you feel that the targets of the event have been fulfilled?”, which demonstrates the overall satisfaction of the participants. On the other hand the question “How satisfied are you from the level of participation to the event proceedings?” received the lowest mean score (3.9), which is expected since the majority of the consortium partners had to cancel their participation to the event due to the COVID-19 restrictions imposed.

6. Website Evaluation

A star raking evaluation has been introduced in the project website <http://fostexproject.eu/> to receive satisfaction feedback from the visitors of the website. Up until now, 11 web users have offered their opinion, and the website has been rated with a score of 4,73 out of 5.



The participation in this voting poll is expected to grow in the next project period and especially after the incorporation of the FOSTEX platform.

7. 3rd Internal Evaluation of the project

The purpose of the project evaluation is to assess the work methodology and achievements of the project as well as appraise the project partnership approach including management structures, communications and work relationships.

7.1 Responses presentation


The questionnaires and result analysis follow a 5 points Likert Scale where 1=Poor - 5=Excellent.

7.2 Other Comments and Suggestions

- the COVID-19 makes us late in proceeding some issues!! Organisation/logistics of meetings need some improvement; e.g. timely and clear information.
- The ongoing COVID-19 pandemic is going to affect the progress of the workplan and decision making will be required concerning the development of the capacity building training.



3rd Internal Evaluation





7.3 Results and Conclusions

14 partners participated in this evaluation. 97% of the evaluation scores given by the project Partners are above the threshold of 3, thus the percentage of questions receiving **scores over or equal to 3 is 97%**, and the total **average score is 4.1**. The highest scores were given once more to the effectiveness and competence of the Project Coordination, whereas “the adherence to the Work Plan by all partners” and “the extent to which the consortium commits time and resources as required by the Work Plan?” received a mean score of 3.6, which was the lowest. Scores on the negative side of the scale were provided by just one participant.

A small decline of the average score is observed in the succeeding internal evaluations which take place every 6 months (4.4 – 4.3 – 4.1). Since the project is taking place within the uncertain environment of the COVID-19 pandemic, the consortium should pay special attention on the timely submission of the deliverables and promote the adherence to the Work Plan. It may be also the case that **the project’s steering committee may need to make further decisions concerning the timing or the way (e.g. online instead of face-to-face) of the implementation of the activities in order to achieve the best project results and secure the health of the participants at the same time.**



8. Annexes

8.1 Annex I - Links to Google Forms Evaluations

Annex I provides the links to the Evaluation Forms produced during the 2nd semester of the project.

8.2 Annex II - Evaluation and Monitoring Table

To monitor the timely performance of tasks linked to quality control, the updated table of the Evaluation and Monitoring Table is annexed to this report (Annex II).

8.3 Annex III - Progress report

Annex III represents the Progress report for the 3rd 6-month period of FOSTEX project.

For the drafting of the Progress report the official template of the “Table of achieved / planned results” was distributed to the WP leaders who were responsible for completing one form about the WP found under their leadership. The template includes 3 separate sections named “Achieved results”, “Foreseen Results” and “Occurred Changes”.

The “indicators of progress” described in the project’s proposal are already incorporated under the quality indicators/criteria set by the Quality plan (column H of Annex II: Evaluation and Monitoring Table) and are used under the heading “Specific and measurable indicators of achievement” to monitor the development of the WPs.



Annex I: Links to Google Forms Evaluations

[Deliverable Evaluation Form D1.2 FOSTEX](#)

[Deliverable Evaluation Form D1.3 FOSTEX](#)

[Deliverable Evaluation Form D1.4 FOSTEX](#)

[4th Meeting Evaluation FOSTEX](#)

[FOSTEX Internal Evaluation 3rd semester](#)



WP#	Deliverable description	Type of deliverable	Due date	Partner(s) involved in WP	Quantitative indicators	Quality indicator/criteria	Reviewer	Tools	Type of Review (Internal/ External)	Frequency / dates of evaluation	Date of delivery	Status
WP1	PREPARATION (research and state of the art)			JUST								
WP1.1	Methodology Pack	Document	14-04-19	JUST	1 Methodology Pack created	Acceptance by reviewers (section 5-Deliverable evaluation form)	UPC, AEI TEXTILS, UNIWA, CRETHIDEV, CIAPE, Materially, INCOTP, BAU, ESITH, ACI, UH2C, AMITH	Deliverable evaluation form	internal	after delivery	27-05-19 (1st draft)	Final
WP1.2	National Research reports	Document	14-09-19	JUST, UH2C, ACI, AMITH	2 Research reports developed (1 for Jordan and 1 for Morocco) 40 questionnaires from staff of the future textiles centers, collected and analyzed, 40 questionnaires from experts, 20 participants for the focus groups	Acceptance by reviewers (section 5-Deliverable evaluation form)	UPC, AEI TEXTILS, UNIWA, CRETHIDEV, CIAPE, Materially, INCOTP, BAU, ESITH	Deliverable evaluation form	internal	after delivery	14-09-19 (Jordan) 13-12-19 (Morocco)	Final
WP1.3	EU Best practices and success experience database	Document	14-10-19	INCOTP, UNIWA	10 best practices collected and analysed 1 Database with EU best practices	Acceptance by reviewers (section 5-Deliverable evaluation form)	UPC, AEI TEXTILS, CRETHIDEV, CIAPE, Materially, JUST, BAU, ESITH, ACI, UH2C, AMITH	Deliverable evaluation form	internal	after delivery	03-10-19	Final
WP1.4	Cross-country research report	Document	14-12-19	JUST	1 Final (cross-country) research report created	Acceptance by reviewers (section 5-Deliverable evaluation form)	UPC, AEI TEXTILS, UNIWA, CRETHIDEV, CIAPE, Materially, INCOTP, BAU, ESITH, ACI, UH2C, AMITH	Deliverable evaluation form	internal	after delivery	14-12-19	Final
WP2	DEVELOPMENT (capacity building, setting up/upgrading of the centres)			ESITH								
WP2.1	Training toolkit	e-document /folder	14-02-20	UNIWA, support from INCOTP, UPC	Structure of the toolkit for the capacity building	Accomplishment	Project Coordinator	Progress Report	internal	after delivery	15-02-20	Final
			14-05-20	UNIWA, support from all partners	Training content intergrated in the toolkit 1 toolkit created	Acceptance by reviewers (section 5-Deliverable evaluation form)	All partners will evaluate topics they have not developed	Deliverable evaluation form	internal	after delivery	Ongoing	
WP2.2	Capacity building programme report		14-11-20	UNIWA, UPC, INCOTP, CRE.THI.DEV., AEI TEXTILS (visits)	1 Capacity building session implemented	Accomplishment	Project Coordinator	Progress Report	internal	after training		
		Trainings	31-10-20	UNIWA, UPC, INCOTP, AEI TEXTILS (visits)	15-day training held 2 visits to research centers 2 visits to innovative textile companies 12 members of partner countries staff trained	Average score >3 and percentage of scores ≥ 3 more than 70% of the total answers	Trainees	1.Progress Report 2.Training Course Evaluation Form 3. Participants list	internal	after training		
		Document	14-11-20	CRE.THI.DEV, support from UPC	1 Report about the capacity building for each session created	Acceptance by reviewers (section 5-Deliverable evaluation form)	CIAPE, Materially, JUST, BAU, ESITH, ACI, UH2C, AMITH	Deliverable evaluation form	internal	after delivery		

WP#	Deliverable description	Type of deliverable	Due date	Partner(s) involved in WP	Quantitative indicators	Quality indicator/criteria	Reviewer	Tools	Type of Review (Internal/ External)	Frequency /dates of evaluation	Date of delivery	Status
	<i>Synergetic meetings between EU textile industry experts and HEIs and companies from Jordan and Morocco</i>	Events	14-01-22	AEI TEXTILS with support from CIAPE	1 meeting in Jordan 1 meeting in Morocco	Average score >3 and percentage of scores ≥ 3 more than 70% of the total answers	Partshipants	Event evaluation form (hard copy)	external	after the event		
WP2.3	<i>Equipment for each textile center</i>		14-01-21	BAU, JUST, ESITH, UH2C, support UNIWA and INCOTP	8 textiles testing equipment and devices procured, delivered and installed	1.Stage of procurement 2.Level of accomplishment	Project Coordinator	1. Publication of Procurement 2.Purchase proofs	internal	1.after publication 2.after delivery		
WP2.4	<i>Advanced textile centers' services</i>		14-03-21	CRE.THI.DEV, INCOTP, UNIWA support with ACI and AMITH	4 Centers' services organised and set up	Accomplishment	1.Project Coordinator 2.EQEC members in patner countries	1.Progress Report 2. Sit-rep	1.internal 2.external	1.14/07/2021 2.after accomplishment		
		Content	14-06-21	CRE.THI.DEV, INCOTP, UNIWA support with ACI and AMITH	4 brochures with services for each textile center produced 4x200 copies printed	Acceptance by reviewers (section 5-Deliverable evaluation form)	UPC, AEI TEXTILS, CIAPE, Materially, JUST, BAU, ESITH, UH2C	Deliverable evaluation form	internal	after delivery		
WP2.5	<i>Country-specific entrepreneurial plan</i>	Document	14-12-20	AEI TEXTILS with support from AMITH and ACI	2 Entrepreneurial plans created	Acceptance by reviewers (section 5-Deliverable evaluation form)	UPC, UNIWA, CRETHIDEV, CIAPE, Materially, INCOTP, JUST, BAU, ESITH, UH2C	Deliverable evaluation form	internal	after delivery		
WP2.6	<i>Pilot test reports</i>	Sessions	14-10-21	JUST, BAU, ESITH, UH2C	4 pilot sessions for the Textiles centers	Accomplishment	Project Coordinator	Progress Report	internal	after delivery		
		Document	14-10-21	ESITH,support UNIWA and INCOTP	4 pilot reports drafted for each pilot session	Acceptance by reviewers (section 5-Deliverable evaluation form)	UPC, AEI TEXTILS, , CRETHIDEV, CIAPE, Materially, ACI, AMITH	Deliverable evaluation form	internal	after delivery		
WP2.7	<i>Collaboration platform</i>	Platform/websi te	14-11-21	ESITH, BAU, Materially	1 Collaboration platform developed	Acceptance by reviewers (section 5-Deliverable evaluation form)	UPC, AEI TEXTILS, UNIWA, CRETHIDEV, CIAPE, INCOTP, JUST, ACI, UH2C, AMITH	Deliverable acceptance sheet	internal	after delivery		
					> 50 users subscribed on the platform at the end of the project	Accomplishment	Project Coordinator	Progress Report	internal	after delivery		
					15 evaluations by users	Average score >3 stars out of 5	Users	Pop-up evaluation window	external	6 months after operation start		
WP2.8	<i>Report on synergy from EU advanced textile experts training masterclasses</i>	Sessions	14-09-21	AEI TEXTILS with support from CIAPE, ACI, AMITH, ESITH and JUST	1 masterclass held in Jordan 1 masterclass held in Morocco	Accomplishment	Project Coordinator	Progress Report	internal	after delivery		
		Document	14-09-21	AEI TEXTILS with support from CIAPE, ACI, AMITH, ESITH and JUST	1 report concerning Jordan 1 report concerning Morocco	Acceptance by reviewers (section 5-Deliverable evaluation form)	UPC, UNIWA, Materially, INCOTP, BAU, UH2C, CRETIDEV	Deliverable acceptance sheet	internal	after delivery		
WP3	QUALITY PLAN (quality and evaluation)			CRETHIDEV								
WP3.1	<i>Quality and evaluation Plan</i>	Document	15-03-19	CRE.THI.DEV	1 Quality Plan developed	Acceptance by reviewers (section 5-Deliverable evaluation form)	UPC, AEI TEXTILS, UNIWA, CIAPE, Materially, INCOTP, JUST, BAU, ESITH, ACI, UH2C, AMITH	Deliverable evaluation form	internal	after delivery	15-03-19	Final

WP#	Deliverable description	Type of deliverable	Due date	Partner(s) involved in WP	Quantitative indicators	Quality indicator/criteria	Reviewer	Tools	Type of Review (Internal/ External)	Frequency /dates of evaluation	Date of delivery	Status
WP3.2	Quality and evaluation Reports	Document	14/7/2019, 14/1/2020, 14/7/2020, 14/1/2021, 14/7/2021, 14/1/2022	CRE.THI.DEV, UPC	6 Quality & Evaluation reports produced	Accomplishment, no issues	External Quality and Evaluation Committee	Sit-rep	external	after delivery	14-07-2019 14-01-2020 14-07-2020	Final Final Final
WP4	DISSEMINATION & EXPLOITATION			CIAPE								
WP4.1	Dissemination and Exploitation Plan	Document	14-04-19	CIAPE	1 Dissemination and Exploitation Plan produced	Acceptance by reviewers (section 5-Deliverable evaluation form)	UPC, AEI TEXTILS, UNIWA, CRETHIDEV, Materially, INCDTP, JUST, BAU, ESITH, ACI, UH2C, AMITH	Deliverable evaluation form	internal	after delivery	15-06-19	Final
WP4.2	Data base for contacts & Mailing	Database	14-06-19	CIAPE, JUST, BAU, ESITH, ACI, UH2C, AMITH, Materially (text for mailing)	100 contacts per partner for the contacts in Jordan & Morocco 600 contacts in total	Accomplishment	Project Coordinator	Progress Report	internal	after delivery	14-06-19	Final
WP4.3	Project website and online collaterals	Platform/websi te	14-07-19	Materially, UPC	1 project website	Accomplishment	Project Coordinator	Progress Report	internal	after delivery	03-07-19	Final
			14-07-19	CIAPE, Materially	1 FB page	Accomplishment	Project Coordinator	Progress Report	internal	after delivery	03-07-19	Final
			14-07-19	CIAPE, Materially	1 twitter page	Accomplishment	Project Coordinator	Progress Report	internal	after delivery	03-07-19	Final
			14-10-20	CIAPE, Materially	Project website/social networks: 500 followers	Accomplishment	Project Coordinator	Progress Report	internal	after delivery	Ongoing	
			01/7/2019, 01/1/2020, 01/7/2020, 01/1/2021, 01/7/2021, 01/1/2022	ACI	1. 6 newsletters issued 2. 600 people reached per newsletter's edition	Accomplishment	Project Coordinator	Progress Report	internal	after delivery	16-12-19 Ongoing	Final
WP4.4	Dissemination material-look&feel	Document	14-08-19	Materially	1 logo 1 graphic identity	Acceptance by reviewers (section 5-Deliverable evaluation form)	UPC, AEI TEXTILS, UNIWA, CRETHIDEV, CIAPE, INCDTP, JUST, BAU, ESITH, ACI, UH2C, AMITH	Deliverable evaluation form	internal	after delivery	14-03-19	Final
WP4.4	Dissemination material-leaflet & press pack	Graphic design + content	14-08-19	Materially	Graphic design for leaflets & press pack text on the leaflet	Acceptance by reviewers (section 5-Deliverable evaluation form)	UPC, AEI TEXTILS, UNIWA, CRETHIDEV, CIAPE, INCDTP, JUST, BAU, ESITH, ACI, UH2C, AMITH	Deliverable evaluation form	internal	after delivery	14-09-19	Final
			14-08-19	All partners	300 printed copies of leaflets	Accomplishment	Project Coordinator	Progress Report	internal	after delivery	01-06-20	Final
WP4.5	Sustainable business model for textile centres	Document	14-12-21	AEI TEXTILS, CIAPE, ACI, AMITH	Sustainable business model for Textile centers produced	Acceptance by reviewers (section 5-Deliverable evaluation form)	UPC, UNIWA, CRETHIDEV, Materially, INCDTP, JUST, BAU, ESITH, UH2C	Deliverable evaluation form	internal	after delivery		
WP4.6	Background papers	Document	14-04-20	AMITH and ACI, support from AEI TEXTILS, CIAPE	2 Background papers for the Roundtables-one for Jordan and one for Morocco	Acceptance by reviewers (section 5-Deliverable evaluation form)	UPC, UNIWA, CRETHIDEV, Materially, INCDTP, JUST, BAU, ESITH, UH2C	Email exchange	internal	after delivery	17-06-20	Final

WP#	Deliverable description	Type of deliverable	Due date	Partner(s) involved in WP	Quantitative indicators	Quality indicator/criteria	Reviewer	Tools	Type of Review (Internal/ External)	Frequency /dates of evaluation	Date of delivery	Status
WP4.7	Roundtables “Advanced Textiles’ centers development and Investment promotion for inclusive and sustainable growth in Jordan” and “Advanced Textiles’ centers development and Investment promotion for inclusive and sustainable growth in Morocco”	Events	14-03-21	AMITH, ACI, supprt from AEI TEXTILS	1 Roundtable in Jordan 1 Roundtable in Morocco 30 people participating in each round table	Average score >3 and percentage of scores ≥ 3 more than 70% of the total answers	Participants	1.Event Evaluation Form 2. Participants list	internal	after the event	10-03-20 in Jordan	Final in Jordan
WP4.8	Roundtables “Driving innovation and entrepreneurship in advanced textiles”	Events	14-03-21	ACI, AMITH, supprt from AEI TEXTILS	1 Roundtable in Jordan 1 Roundtable in Morocco 30 people participating in each round table	Satisfaction: Average score >3 and percentage of scores ≥ 3 more than 70% of the total answers	Participants	1.Event Evaluation Form 2. Partishipants list	internal	after the event		
WP4.9	Recommendations “How advanced textiles’ centers are useful for the economic development of the region”	Document	14-10-21	CIAPE, AEI TEXTILS, ACI, MITH	1 Recommendations paper	Satisfaction: Average score >3 and percentage of scores ≥ 3 more than 70% of the total answers	UPC, UNIWA, CRETHIDEV, Materially, INCDTP, JUST, BAU, ESITH, UH2C	Deliverable evaluation form	internal	after delivery		
					100 recommendations printed	Accomplishment	Project Coordinator	Progress Report	internal	after delivery		
WP4.10	Follow up to the recommendations	Document	14-01-22	ACI, MITH, CIAPE, AEI TEXTILS	1 follow up report in Jordan 1 follow up report in Morocco	Satisfaction: Average score >3 and percentage of scores ≥ 3 more than 70% of the total answers	UPC, UNIWA, CRETHIDEV, Materially, INCDTP, JUST, BAU, ESITH, UH2C	Deliverable evaluation form	internal	after delivery		
WP4.11	Interim Conference in Morocco	Events	14-03-21	ESITH, UH2C, AMITH, CIAPE, JUST	1 Interim conference organized and implemented in Morocco 100 people participating in the interim conference	Satisfaction: Average score >3 and percentage of scores ≥ 3 more than 70% of the total answers	Event Participants	Event evaluation form (hard copy)	internal	after event		
WP4.12	Final conference	Events	14-01-22	JUST, BAU, ACI, CIAPE, UPC	1 Final conference organized and implemented in Jordan 100 people participating in the final conference	Satisfaction: Average score >3 and percentage of scores ≥ 3 more than 70% of the total answers	Event Participants	Event evaluation form (hard copy)	internal	after event		
WP5	MANAGEMENT			UPC								
WP5	Transverse: management activities for the project	Process			Effectiveness and progress of management	Satisfaction: Average score >3 and percentage of scores ≥ 3 more than 70% of the total answers	All partners	Internal evaluation form	internal	every 6 months	on progress	
WP5.1	Project Management Guide	Document	15-02-19	UPC	1 Management Plan developed	Acceptance by reviewers (section 5-Deliverable evaluation form)	AEI TEXTILS, UNIWA, CRETHIDEV, CIAPE, Materially, INCDTP, JUST, BAU, ESITH, ACI, UH2C, AMITH	Deliverable acceptance sheet	internal	after delivery	15-02-19	Final
WP5.2	Interim Report	On-line submission document	14-07-20	UPC, all partners	1 Interim Report produced	Acceptance by EACEA, rating >65	EACEA	Education participants portal, project's outputs	external	14-07-20		
WP5.3	Final Report	On-line submission document	15-01-22	UPC, all partners	1 Final Report produced	Acceptance by EACEA, rating >50	EACEA	Education participants portal, project's outputs	external	15-01-22		

WP#	Deliverable description	Type of deliverable	Due date	Partner(s) involved in WP	Quantitative indicators	Quality indicator/criteria	Reviewer	Tools	Type of Review (Internal/ External)	Frequency /dates of evaluation	Date of delivery	Status
WP5.4	Kickoff meeting	Meeting	14-02-19		Meeting successfully performed	Satisfaction: Average score >3 and percentage of scores ≥ 3 more than 70% of the total answers	Meeting participants	Meeting Evaluation Form	internal	Next Quality and Evaluation report	20-02-19	Final
WP5.5	2nd meeting	Meeting	14-07-19		Meeting successfully performed	Satisfaction: Average score >3 and percentage of scores ≥ 3 more than 70% of the total answers	Meeting participants	Meeting Evaluation Form	internal	Next Quality and Evaluation report	11-07-19	Final
WP5.6	3rd meeting	Meeting	14-12-19		Meeting successfully performed	Satisfaction: Average score >3 and percentage of scores ≥ 3 more than 70% of the total answers	Meeting participants	Meeting Evaluation Form	internal	Next Quality and Evaluation report	14-11-19	Final
WP5.7	4th meeting	Meeting	14-05-20		Meeting successfully performed	Satisfaction: Average score >3 and percentage of scores ≥ 3 more than 70% of the total answers	Meeting participants	Meeting Evaluation Form	internal	Next Quality and Evaluation report	13-03-20	Final
WP5.8	5th meeting	Meeting	14-10-20		Meeting successfully performed	Satisfaction: Average score >3 and percentage of scores ≥ 3 more than 70% of the total answers	Meeting participants	Meeting Evaluation Form	internal	Next Quality and Evaluation report		
WP5.9	6th meeting	Meeting	14-03-21		Meeting successfully performed	Satisfaction: Average score >3 and percentage of scores ≥ 3 more than 70% of the total answers	Meeting participants	Meeting Evaluation Form	internal	Next Quality and Evaluation report		
WP5.10	6th meeting	Meeting	14-08-21		Meeting successfully performed	Satisfaction: Average score >3 and percentage of scores ≥ 3 more than 70% of the total answers	Meeting participants	Meeting Evaluation Form	internal	Next Quality and Evaluation report		
WP5.11	Final meeting	Meeting	14-01-22		Meeting successfully performed	Satisfaction: Average score >3 and percentage of scores ≥ 3 more than 70% of the total answers	Meeting participants	Meeting Evaluation Form	internal	Next Quality and Evaluation report		

TABLE OF ACHIEVED / PLANNED RESULTS

<u>Title and reference number of the work package (WP)</u>	WP1- PREPARATION (Research and state of the art)
<u>Indicators of achievement and or/performance as indicated in the project proposal</u>	40 questionnaires from staff of the future textiles centres, collected and analysed 40 questionnaires from experts 20 participants for the focus groups 10 best practices collected and analysed 1 Methodology pack created 1 Database with EU best practices 2 Research reports developed for Jordan and for Morocco 1 Final (cross-country) research report created

Activities carried out to date for the achievement of this result:

Activity N°	Activity Title	Start date	End date	Place	Description of the activity carried out	Specific and measurable indicators of achievement
1.1	Methodology Pack	Beginning of the project	14/04/2019	Jordan	An electronic document produced by JUST, which provides the guidelines and requirements for the implementation of WP1 activities and this includes the detailed plan for what needs to be done (research activities) and how to approach them (methodology for conducting the desk and field research, timelines and standardized templates for reporting and compiling the results). In addition, it includes the common tools needed to harmonize the results for conducting the research such as survey, templates, grids for collecting data, guidelines for the focus groups, etc.	Methodology Pack Report Achieved (En, Ar, Fr)

1.2	National Research Reports	Beginning of the project	14/04/2019	Jordan, Morocco	Two electronic reports were produced by JUST in Jordan and UH2C in Morocco with the assistance of ACI, ESITH and AMITH. They include the macroeconomic information of the textile sector, the identification of key stakeholders, the needs of the sector both from industry perspective and from academia point of view, a strength-opportunity-weakness-threads analysis (SWOT) for the textile sector.	2 National Research Reports Achieved (En, Fr) for Morocco's report and Achieved (En, Ar) for Jordan's report 64 participants for the focus groups Achieved 62 Questionnaires from experts and staff members collected and analysed Achieved
1.3	EU Best practices database and success experiences in capacity building: implications for the textile industry	Beginning of the project	14-10-2019	Romania, Greece, Jordan	An electronic database containing 22 best practices identified across Europe with high potential to be transferred to the partner countries, representing: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Existing advanced textiles' centers or other centers implemented from different sectors. - Collaboration among universities, BIOs and companies for the sectoral development. - Innovation and entrepreneurship led by HEIs and business collaboration. 	1 Best Practice Report/Database Achieved (En, Fr and Ar) 22 best practices + EU projects analysed Achieved
1.4	Cross-country research report	Beginning of the project	14-12-2019	Jordan	The cross-country report (electronic document) was produced by JUST by compiling and analysing the key findings and recommendations coming from desk and field research activities that were included in national reports. It includes a deep analysis of the learnings from best practices and the status of each partner country to highlight main potentials for	1 Cross country Report Achieved (En, Fr, Ar)

					improving the implementation. This report is the main feed to the development activities for all partners.	
--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Activities to be carried out for the achievement of this outcome (entire project period: 2 or 3 years)

Activity N°	Activity Title	Start date	End date	Place	Description of the activity to be carried out	Specific and measurable indicators of progress

Changes that have occurred in this result since the original proposal:

In Morocco, the filed survey was targeted to the focus groups with experts of the sector instead of the completion of questionnaires. The rationale for this is that the number of participants in the focus groups was overachieved (64 instead of 20) while the total number of completed questionnaires was 62 instead of 80. This change did not affect the overall analysis and understanding of the sector and in fact it provided the chance for in depth face-to-face discussions.

<u>Title and reference number of the work package (WP)</u>	WP2-DEVELOPMENT (Capacity building, setting up and upgrading of advanced textiles' centers)
<u>Indicators of achievement and or/performance as indicated in the project proposal</u>	1 Toolkit for the capacity building created 1 Capacity building session implemented 1 Report about the capacity building created 8 textiles testing equipment and devices procured, delivered and installed 4 pilot sessions for the Textiles centers 4 Centres' services organized 2 Entrepreneurial plans created 2 masterclasses by EU experts held 200 brochures displaying the activities of the centres published Collaboration platform developed

Activities carried out to date for the achievement of this result:

Activity N°	Activity Title	Start date	End date	Place	Description of the activity carried out	Specific and measurable indicators of achievement
2.1	Training toolkit	15-01-20	4-09-20	Greece, Spain, Romania, Italy	1 training toolkit created. Document and templates created. Training materials created.	1 Toolkit for the capacity building Achieved
2.2	Capacity building programme report	01-06-20	ongoing	Greece	1 training report template produced as part of the training toolkit. The current COVID-19 pandemic has opened up a discussion concerning the feasibility of implementing the Capacity Building Session face-to-face, as well as its timing. Decisions are pending.	1 training report template elaborated
2.3	Equipment for each textile center	Beginning of the project	ongoing	Jordan and Morocco	A visit from INCDTP to Jordan and Morocco's HEIs was done to define de training and equipment. There has been a reassessment of the required	3 tender procedures launched

					equipment for the textiles centres which has received the approval of the Project Adviser. The purchase of the equipment is ongoing at JUST and ESITH, while at BAU the tender procedure is ongoing.	
--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Activities to be carried out for the achievement of this outcome (entire project period: 2 or 3 years)

Activity N°	Activity Title	Start date	End date	Place	Description of the activity to be carried out	Specific and measurable indicators of progress
2.2	Capacity building programme report	01-06-20	ongoing	Greece	The capacity building program report will be an electronic document assembled by CRETHIDEV with the inputs from the capacity building program trainers and feedback from the participants. It will contain the evaluation from the training and the different sessions held.	1 Capacity building sessions implemented 1 Report about the capacity building created
2.3	Equipment for each textile center	Beginning of the project	ongoing	Jordan, Morocco	Equipment for the advanced textiles' centers will be purchased, installed, tested and adjusted by the four HEIs in the partner countries.	8 textiles testing equipment and devices procured, delivered and installed
2.4	Advanced textiles' centers services	14-01-21	14-03-21	Jordan, Morocco	CRE.THIDEV, INCDTP, PUAS and ACI will be supporting each center (HEIs in Morocco and Jordan) in defining the different services offered. ACI organized end of August 2020 a training workshop for the staff involved in the center at JUST	4 Centres' services organized Number of services and workshops organized
2.5	Country-specific entrepreneurial plan	14-8-20	14-12-20	Spain, Jordan, Morocco, Greece	This deliverable consists of 2 electronic documents with proposals of entrepreneurship in Jordan and Morocco. Will be developed by AEI TEXTILS with support from ACI and AMITH from a commercial point of view and UNIWA, UPC and local universities for technical and academic information.	2 Entrepreneurial plans created

2.6	Pilot test reports	14-02-21	14-10-21	Jordan, Morocco, Greece, Romania	Partner countries HEIs will gather feedback from both experts and users of the textile centers after the pilot period which will be summarised into pilot test reports.	4 pilot sessions for the Textiles centers 200 brochures displaying the activities of the centres published
2.7	Collaboration platform	14-9-21	14-11-21	Italy, Jordan, Morocco	A collaboration website platform will be set up within the project website, subcontracted by Materially and managed by CIAPE with support from HEIs in partner countries.	1 Collaboration platform developed
2.8	Report on synergy from EU advanced textile experts training masterclasses	14-01-21	14-09-21	Jordan, Morocco	Masterclasses and networking sessions from EU external industrial experts will take place in Morocco and Jordan. An electronic report will contain the outcomes and conclusions from these matchmaking and networking events.	2 masterclasses by EU experts held

Changes that have occurred in this result since the original proposal:

The development of this WP is being delayed as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic.

The current COVID-19 pandemic has opened a discussion concerning the feasibility of implementing the Capacity Building Session face-to-face, as well as its timing. It has been agreed on a meeting in June that the capacity building session will be done online on the period proposed for the face-to-face meeting (first two weeks of September 2020). The sessions are in progress now. If there is the possibility to travel during the next months extra sessions will be done in Terrassa, but will depend on the evolution of the pandemic.

Apart from the COVID-19 pandemic, UH2C has been facing administrative problems which are causing delays in launching the tendering procedures. The project coordinator has been working together with Morocco NEO and UH2C staff members to resolve this issue.

<u>Title and reference number of the work package (WP)</u>	WP3-QUALITY PLAN (Quality and evaluation)
<u>Indicators of achievement and or/performance as indicated in the project proposal</u>	1 Quality Plan developed 6 Quality & Evaluation reports produced

Activities carried out to date for the achievement of this result:

Activity N°	Activity Title	Start date	End date	Place	Description of the activity carried out	Specific and measurable indicators of achievement
3.1	Quality and Evaluation Plan	10/02/19	15/03/19	Greece	Elaboration and finalization of the Quality and Evaluation Plan. The first draft version was produced on 15/03/15, followed by two more draft versions incorporating comments by the PC and the logo of the project. On 27/06/19, the 1 st final version was produced after the quality evaluation process, which was then amended to v2 that includes decisions made during the 2 nd Project Meeting. The 3 rd version incorporates changes in Annex V, part 4.	1 Quality Plan developed and approved Achieved
3.2	Quality and evaluation Reports	28/06/19	ongoing	Greece	Elaboration of the 1 st , 2 nd and 3 rd Quality and Evaluation Reports. Corresponding Progress reports (Tables of achieved/planned results) were included as annexes.	3 Quality & Evaluation reports produced
M3.2	External Quality and Evaluation Committee set up	15/09/19	ongoing	Greece, Spain, Morocco, Jordan	open calls for the selection of the members of the external Quality and Evaluation Committee were prepared and launched. Members of the External Quality and Evaluation Committee selected.	3 open calls published 2 contracts signed / 1 ongoing for JO

Activities to be carried out for the achievement of this outcome (entire project period: 2 or 3 years)

Activity N°	Activity Title	Start date	End date	Place	Description of the activity to be carried out	Specific and measurable indicators of progress
3.2	Quality and evaluation Reports	28/06/19	14/01/21	Greece	3 additional Quality and Evaluation reports to be produced, one every 6 months.	6 Quality and evaluation Reports produced in total
M3.2	External Quality and Evaluation Committee set up	15/09/19	14/08/21	Greece, Jordan	1 contract to be signed with the External Quality and Evaluation Committee Member in Jordan.	3 contracts signed in total

Changes that have occurred in this result since the original proposal:

The kick-off-meeting's deliberation with the e-participation of the Project Officer concluded that the External Quality & Evaluation Committee will be composed of three (3) members instead of six (6), one in Europe, one in Jordan and in Morocco in line with the availability of budget for this purpose.

The 1st call was unsuccessful in the case of the External member in Jordan and a new amended call with less required criteria was published.

The COVID-19 pandemic caused a further delay by stalling procedures and as a result, the contract for the Jordanian member of the Committee is pending.

<u>Title and reference number of the work package (WP)</u>	WP4- DISSEMINATION & EXPLOITATION
<u>Indicators of achievement and or/performance as indicated in the project proposal</u>	Dissemination and Exploitation Plan produced 100 contacts per partner in Jordan & Morocco 300 printed copies of leaflets 1 project logo 1 project website 1 FB page 1 twitter page Sustainable business model for Textile centers produced 2 Background papers for the Roundtables - one for Jordan and one for Morocco 2 Roundtables Textiles centers development and Investment promotion for inclusive and sustainable growth in Jordan and Morocco” - one for Jordan and one for Morocco 2 Roundtables on Entrepreneurship and innovation -1 in Jordan and 1 in Morocco 1 Recommendations paper based on the Roundtables produced and circulated 1 Interim conference organized and implemented in Morocco 1 Final conference organized and implemented in Jordan

Activities carried out to date for the achievement of this result:

Activity N°	Activity Title	Start date	End date	Place	Description of the activity carried out	Specific and measurable indicators of progress
4.1	Dissemination and Exploitation Plan	15/02/19	14/04/19	Europe (Morocco and Jordan inputs)	Drafting of the dissemination and exploitation plan	1 Dissemination and Exploitation Plan developed and approved Achieved
4.2	Data base for contacts & Mailing	15/03/19	14/06/19	Europe and partner countries (Morocco and Jordan)	Creation of the template for the collection of contents by project partners. Database has been de-localized to each partner individually to comply with GDPR. Each partner has its own database.	1 Template of contacts created 100 contacts per partner in Jordan & Morocco Achieved
4.3	Project website and online	15/03/19	ongoing	Europe (Morocco and	Project website created in English Translations texts in Arabic and French	1 project website developed Achieved

	collaterals			Jordan inputs)	ready FB and LinkedIn pages created, managed and animation is ongoing 1st and 2 nd newsletters produced; 3 rd is ongoing	1 FB page Achieved 1 twitter page Achieved Linkedin Achieved 2 newsletters produced
4.4	Dissemination material	15/03/19	14/09/19	Europe (Morocco and Jordan inputs)	Graphic design for roll up, PPT presentation and leaflet. The leaflet was designed and created in EN and translated in Arabic and French.	1 project logo Achieved Graphic design of dissemination materials Achieved 300 copies of leaflets printed Achieved
4.5	Sustainable business model for advanced textile centres	01/01/20	ongoing	Europe and partner countries (Morocco and Jordan)	1 document (draft) with the guidelines for the Sustainable business model for advanced textile centres has been developed.	1 draft document with the guidelines
4.6	Background papers	01/01/20	14/04/20	Europe and partner countries (Morocco and Jordan)	2 Background papers for the roundtables in Amman and Casablanca were prepared.	2 Background papers Achieved
4.7	Roundtables on economic development with the advanced textiles' centers	01/12/19	Ongoing	Partner countries (Jordan, Morocco) with support from programme countries	The roundtable "Advanced Textiles' centers development and Investment promotion for inclusive and sustainable growth in Jordan" was successfully held in Amman on 10 March 2020. The corresponding roundtable initially planned to take place in Casablanca was rescheduled to take place online and it will be conducted as soon as technical matters are resolved.	2 Roundtables Agendas ready Jordan roundtable developed Achieved Morocco roundtable postponed

Activities to be carried out for the achievement of this outcome (entire project period: 2 or 3 years)

Activity N°	Activity Title	Start date	End date	Place	Description of the activity to be carried out	Specific and measurable indicators of progress
4. 3	Project website and online collaterals	15/03/19	14/01/22	EU, Jordan, Morocco	Constant update of the website and social media of the project. Finalisation of the 3 rd project newsletter and production of three additional newsletters.	4 additional newsletters to be produced and sent
4. 5	Sustainable business model for advanced textile centres	01/01/20	04/12/21	EU, Jordan, Morocco	The Sustainable business model for advanced textile centres is going to be elaborated based on CIAPE's guidelines already produced.	Sustainable business model for Textile centers produced
4.7	Roundtables on economic development with the advanced textiles' centers	01/03/19	14/03/21	Morocco, online	Rescheduling of the roundtable in Morocco.	2 roundtables held (1 achieved)
4. 8	Roundtables "Driving innovation and entrepreneurship in advanced textiles" one in Morocco and one in Jordan	01/03/20	14/03/21	Jordan, Morocco, (or online)	Two roundtables with the titles "Driving innovation and entrepreneurship in advanced textiles" will be organised respectively by ACI in Jordan and AMITH in Morocco with the support form AEI TEXTILS.	2 Roundtables held
4.9	Recommendations "How advanced textiles' centres are useful for the	15/07/21	14/10/21	EU, Jordan, Morocco	Recommendations paper will be created and distributed both in electronic and paper format.	1 Recommendations paper based on the Roundtables produced and circulated

	economic development of the region”					
4.10	Follow-up of the recommendations	15/11/21	14/01/22	EU, Jordan, Morocco	The implementation of the Recommendations “How advanced textiles’ centers are useful for the economic development of the region” will be monitored and recorded in a follow-up report.	1 follow-up report to be produced
4.11	Interim Conference in Morocco	14/01/21	14/03/21	Morocco	1 Interim conference organized and implemented in Morocco	1 Interim conference organized
4.12	Final Conference in Jordan	15/11/21	14/01/22	Jordan	1 Final conference organized and implemented in Jordan	1 Final conference organized

Changes that have occurred in this result since the original proposal:

Database has been de-localized to each partner individually to comply with GDPR.
Each partner has its own database using the template provided by CIAPE.
The outcomes of this action will not be affected, as the sending will have the same outreach level as initially foreseen.
De-localization will also facilitate the sending in the right language to each target group and also will facilitate the known sender for receivers with higher possibility of click and enter.
Due to the COVID-19 pandemic the “Advanced Textiles’ centers development and Investment promotion for inclusive and sustainable growth in Morocco” has been decided to take place online on 8 July 2020 but due to technical difficulties it had to be postponed.

<u>Title and reference number of the work package (WP)</u>	WP5- MANAGEMENT (Project Management and Coordination)
<u>Indicators of achievement and or/performance as indicated in the project proposal</u>	1 Interim conference organized and implemented in Morocco 1 Final conference organized and implemented in Jordan 1 Management Plan developed

	1 Interim Report produced 1 Final Report produced Kick-off meeting in Spain 2nd consortium meeting in Romania 3rd consortium meeting in Morocco 4th consortium meeting in Jordan 5th consortium meeting in Greece 6th consortium meeting in Morocco 7th consortium meeting in Italy Final Meeting in Jordan
--	--

Activities carried out to date for the achievement of this result:

Activity N°	Activity Title	Start date	End date	Place	Description of the activity carried out	Specific and measurable indicators of achievement
5.1	Project Management Guide	15/01/2019	25/02/2019	Terrassa	An internal document agreed by all partners was presented for all partners during the 1 st partnership meeting. This document defines management planning for the whole duration of the project and set rules for partnership functioning and for project management. This document was produced on	1 management plan developed Achieved
5.4.	Kick off meeting	01/02/2019	25/02/2019	Terrassa	The kick off meeting held in Terrassa from 18 to 20 February 2019 and was organized by UPC (the PC). I was last 3 days and served to discuss the project structure and planning, management and financial issues and to plan preliminary project activities. The Project Management Guide was validated by all partners during this meeting. Moreover, other partners presented	Kick-off meeting in Spain Achieved

					the methodology and timeline of the WPs that they are responsible for. The minutes of the meeting corresponding to D 5.4 were prepared and shared with the partners on 25-02-2019	
D 5.5.	2 nd Project Meeting	10/07/2019	24/07/2019	Bucharest (Romania)	The 2 nd Project meeting was organized by INCDTP and held in Bucharest (Romania) from 10 to 12 th July 2019. During this meeting the progress of deliverables, management information and planning, executing and evaluation of activities was discussed. The minutes of the meeting corresponding to D 5.5 were prepared and shared with the partners on 24-07-2019	2 nd Project meeting in Romania Achieved
D 5.6.	3 rd Project Meeting	11/11/2019	16/11/2019	Casablanca (Morocco)	The 3 rd Project meeting was organized by UH2C and ESITH with UPC help and held in Casablanca and Marrakech (Morocco) from 11 to 14 th November 2019. The days 13-14 th held in Marrakech to allow the present the project on the ITMC Conference (7th Edition of the International Conference on Intelligent Textiles & Mass Customisation). During this meeting the progress of deliverables, management information and planning, executing and evaluation of activities was discussed. The minutes of the meeting corresponding to D 5.6 were prepared and shared with the partners on 26-11-2019	3 rd Project meeting in Morocco Achieved

D 5.7.	4 th Project Meeting	09/03/2020	14/05/2019	As-Salt (Jordan), online	The 4th Project meeting was organized by BAU with UPC help and held in As- Salt (Jordan) from 09 to 13th March 2020. During this meeting the progress of deliverables, management information and planning, executing and evaluation of activities was discussed. Due to the special situation related with covid-19, the European partners (from Italy, Greece, Romania and Spain) participated in the meeting using Skype. The minutes of the meeting corresponding to D 5.7 were prepared and shared with the partners on 19-03-2020	4 th Consortium meeting in Jordan Achieved
D 5.2.	Interim Report	15/01/2019	15/07/2020	Terrassa (Spain)	The Interim Report (electronic document) will be elaborated according to the EC guidelines and requirements – using the template provided. It will be prepared by UPC, based on inputs from all project partners	1 Interim report produced Achieved

Activities to be carried out for the achievement of this outcome (entire project period: 2 or 3 years)

Activity N°	Activity Title	Start date	End date	Place	Description of the activity to be carried out	Specific and measurable indicators of progress
5.3.	Final Report		15/01/2022	Terrassa (Spain)	The Final Report (electronic document) will be elaborated according to the EC guidelines and requirements – using the template provided. It will be prepared by UPC, based on inputs from all project partners	1 final report produced
D 5.8.	5 th Project Meeting		14/10/2020	Athens (Greece)	The 5th project meeting will be organised	5 th Consortium meeting

					by PUAS in Greece. This meeting will serve the purpose of planning, executing common tasks and evaluating activities. 2 participants per partner will be involved.	in Greece
D 5.9.	6 th Project Meeting		14/03/2021	Casablanca (Morocco)	The 6th project meeting will be organised by ESITH in Casablanca, Morocco. This meeting will serve the purpose of planning, executing common tasks and evaluating activities. 2 participants per partner will be involved.	6 th Consortium meeting in Morocco
D 5.10	7 th Project Meeting		14/08/2021	Rome (Italy)	The 7th project meeting will be organised by CIAPE in Italy. This meeting will serve the purpose of planning, executing common tasks and evaluating activities. 2 participants per partner will be involved.	7 th Consortium meeting in Italy
D 5.11	Final Project Meeting		14/01/2022	Irbid (Jordan)	The final project meeting will be organised by JUST in Jordan. This meeting will review all project results and the focus will be especially sustainability issues. 2 participants per partner will be involved.	Final meeting in Jordan

Changes that have occurred in this result since the original proposal:

Deliverables 5.4., 5.4. and 5.6: Only small changes with the participants attending the meetings (in some cases less than 1 or more than 2 per partner) and the days spent on the meetings that have been adjusted depending on the meeting to better manage the project.

Deliverable 5.7. Due to the special situation related with covid-19, the European partners (from Italy, Greece, Romania and Spain) were unable to participate physically on the meeting as a force majeure. In this situation it was held a hybrid meeting in which some partners participated physically and others participated through Skype.

An extra meeting was done in June 2020 to prepare the interim report.

